Cytotoxicity of non-vital dental bleaching agents in human gingival fibroblasts
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare several non-vital dental bleaching agents for their in vitro cytotoxicity to human gingival fibroblasts primary cell line. Methods: The cells were cultivated in DMEM and were seeded in plates of 96 wells; then, it was exposed to the conditioned medium according to the experimental groups (n = 12): G1 - SP (sodium perborate) + distilled water; G2 - SP + 20% CP (carbamide peroxide); G3 - 20% CP; G4 - SP + 35% HP (hydrogen peroxide); G5 - 35% HP. In the control group (n = 12), corresponded to the curve of cell growth and viability, the cells did not receive any treatment. Cell viability was measured photometrically using a MTT assay after a 24 h and 48 h of exposure period. Data were submitted to ANOVA and Tukey's tests.

Results: All the experimental groups presented high cytotoxicity statically in comparison to the control group. The rank of the most to the least toxic material after 24 h was: SP + DW > 35% PH > PS + 20% PC > PS + 35% PH > 20% PC; and after 48 h was: SP + DW > PS + 20% PC > 35% PH > PS + 35% PH > 20% PC. Conclusion: All the bleaching agents had presented cytotoxicity effects, reducing significantly the cell viability, however, in the conditions that the study was conducted the association of sodium perborate with distilled water was the most toxic bleaching agent.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-vital tooth bleaching was first described in 1967 by Chandra [1], and various types of agents such as chloride, sodium hypochlorite, sodium perborate and hydrogen peroxide has been used, alone, in combination and with or without heat activation [2].

Tooth bleaching is based on the use of the hydrogen peroxide (HP), carbamide peroxide (CP) and sodium perborate (SP). Thus, the hydrogen peroxide is the active agent during bleaching process, being applied directly or produced by a chemical reaction from sodium perborate or carbamide peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide acts as a strong oxidizing agent producing free radicals, reactive molecules and hydrogen peroxide ions [3].

Tooth permeability to bleaching agents occurs due to its low molecular weight and the ability of denature proteins, allowing the penetration of hydrogen peroxide to the pulp chamber and periodontal tissue [4,5]. Several authors reported that bleaching agents can penetrate in the dentinal tubules and initiated an inflammatory process which might promote a cervical external root resorption [6] after non-vital dental bleaching in teeth with or without defects in the cementum-enamel junction [7,8].

It is known that the hydrogen peroxide as others oxidative agents may cause cellular and tissue destruction [9], beyond several damage to human fibroblasts [10], which are the predominant cells in periodontal tissue [11].

Also, according to Laroui et al. [12], the hydrogen peroxide is able to interact with DNA, promoting oxidative damage on it. DNA damage has been recognized as the main cause of cell death and mutation in aerobic organisms [13].

Therefore, studies that evaluate the biocompatibility of bleaching agents are required to indicate a safely bleaching procedure. The cytotoxicity test has been used as a viable and commonly alternative for assessment of the biological material, providing fast and accurate results.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxicity of bleaching agents used for endodontically treated teeth on gingival human fibroblasts.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study was conducted under approval of the Ethical Committee of the School of Dentistry of the São Paulo State University (process no. 024/2009).

Experimental groups

The following groups were used: G1- Sodium perborate mixed with distilled water (Byoformula, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil) in ratio 2:1 to form a paste (SP + H2O); G2- Sodium perborate (Byoformula, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil) mixed with 20% carbamide peroxide (Opalescence PF Ultradent, South Jordan, Utah, USA) (SP + 20% CP); G3- 20% Carbamide peroxide (Opalescence PF Ultradent, South Jordan, Utah, USA) (20% CP); G4- Sodium perborate (Byoformula, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil) mixed with 35% hydrogen peroxide (Opalescence Endo Ultradent, South Jordan, Utah, USA) (SP + 35% HP) and G5- 35% Hydrogen peroxide (35% HP) (Opalescence Endo Ultradent, South Jordan, Utah, USA). The control group corresponded to the cell culture without application of any bleaching agents.

Culture medium conditioning

In order to obtain conditioned medium, the bleaching agents were placed in contact with the culture medium (DMEM) during 30 min at 37 °C and 5% CO2, using 0.4 g of each agent for 2 ml of fresh medium, according to the America Society for Testing Materials [14].

Cytotoxicity testing

Primary cell line obtained from human gingival fibroblast was used in cell culture assay. These cells were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium) supplemented by 10% fetal bovine serum (Cultilab, Campinas, SP, Brazil) and 1% antimycotic-antibiotic solution (10,000 units of penicillin, 10 mg of streptomycin and 25 μg of amphotericin B per ml in 0.9% sodium chloride; Sigma Aldrich Co., Germany) at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Cultures were supplied with fresh medium every other day. Cells between the 5th and 10th passages were used in all experimental procedures.
After cell culture, in each well of a 96-well plate (Prolab, São Paulo, Brazil), 2 x 10^3 cells were seeded and incubated for 24 h at 37 ºC. Then, the cell cultures were exposed to 200 μl of the conditioned medium of the bleaching agents and 200 μl of medium (untreated control) during 30 min. After that period, the conditioned medium was replaced for fresh medium and then, cell survival was determined using a MTT assay after 24 and 48 h.

**Analysis of cell viability and growth (MTT assay)**

It was used four specimens of each group for two periods (24 h and 48 h) in three independent experiments to evaluate cell metabolic activity by the methyltetrazolium (MTT) assay, which determines the activity of the succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) enzyme produced by the mitochondria of viable cells.

For this, 100 μl of MTT solution (0.5 mg methyltetrazolium salt in 1 ml PBS, Sigma Aldrich Co., Germany) was placed in each well of the 96-well plate and were incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h. After this period, MTT solution was removed and replaced by 100 μl of DMSO (Sigma Aldrich Co., Germany), to dissolve the blue crystals of formazan present in the cells resulting from the cleavage methyltetrazolium salt by SDH enzyme produced in the mitochondria of the viable cells. After shaking for 10 min, the plates were taking to a multi-well spectrophotometer (Asys Hitech GmbH, Austria) to measure the optical density at 492 nm.

Optical density readings were normalized to untreated control cultures (=100%), and differences between median values were statistically analyzed using the ANOVA and Tukey’s tests at the 0.05 level of significance.

**RESULTS**

The mean and standard deviation of cell viability for the control and experimental groups, expressed in percentage, are show in Figure 1, according to the exposure time period (24 h and 48 h).

The MTT assay indicated that the cell viability of control group was higher than all experimental groups. According to experimental groups, the most toxic material was sodium perborate (SP) + distilled water, exhibiting the cell viability of 6.58% and 2.10%, after 24 h and 48 h, respectively. On the other hand, 20% carbamide peroxide (20% CP) showed the highest cell viability, 42.46% (after 24 h) and 42.65% (after 48 h).

The ANOVA test revealed that the cell viability of control group was statistically significant different compared to all experimental groups in both time of evaluation (p = 0.001 < 0.05).

The Tukey’s test was used to compare the cell viability of experimental groups in both time of evaluation, and it showed that after 24 h, the only group that was statistically different was G3 (20% CP), while after 48 h, was G1 (SP + H2O) (Tables 1 and 2).
Table 1 – Tukey’s test for experimental groups after 24 h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Homogeneous Groups*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (20% CP)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (SP + 35% HP)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (SP + 20% CP)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (35% HP)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (SP + H2O)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean values followed by different letters correspond to statically different data.

Table 2 – Tukey’s test for experimental groups after 48 h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Homogeneous Groups*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (20% CP)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (SP + 35% HP)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (SP + 20% CP)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (35% HP)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (SP + H2O)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean values followed by different letters correspond to statically different data.

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluated the cytotoxicity of bleaching agents in human cell culture. It is relevant to know that hydrogen peroxide acts as a strong oxidizing agent releasing free radicals, reactive oxygen molecules and hydrogen peroxide ions [15]. These reactive molecules split the long-chained, dark-colored chromophore molecules into smaller, less colored, and more diffusible molecules [3]. The hydrogen peroxide through the formation of oxidative radicals seems to be able to cause damage to the structures of DNA and cell death [16].

Discolored non-vital teeth with endodontic treatment has an increase of the dentin permeability and decrease of the dentin microhardness, caused by the use of irrigating solutions and the attrition of the instruments on the walls of the root canal [17,18]. The hydrogen peroxide that is the main component of all bleaching agents, can diffuse through dentin and cementum and reaches periodontal tissues [5,19,20], causing damage effects from mild inflammation to external cervical root resorption [6].

It is believed that the diffusion of hydrogen peroxide from the pulp chamber to the external root surface occurs and has more significance if the tooth has a defect in the cementum-enamel junction (CEJ) [7]. However, Palo et al. [8], found that ions of bleaching agents penetrates through dentin and cementum, even in teeth which the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis detected no failures or discontinuities at the CEJ.

This study was conducted in an *in vitro* cell culture model, which is considered an initial test for the evaluation of materials used in Dentistry. Two types of cells can be used: permanent cell lines derived from type-culture collections (or from commercial sources) or primary cells derived from explants and established in each individual laboratory [21]. In this study a primary cell line was used, since it has a specific metabolic potential similar to the target cells and, therefore, it is closer to the *in vivo* situation [21,22].

*In vitro* cell culture model allows various methods to apply the bleaching agents on the cell cultures. Some authors reported the use of serial dilutions from the hydrogen peroxide [22-24], however, Aren [25] used the cell culture medium conditioned with the bleaching agent prior to application on cells.

In this study, the cell culture medium conditioning was used. This method can be indicated for analyzing substances released from the bleaching agents during the time in contact with cell culture medium. After this period, only the conditioned medium is placed in contact with the cells, avoiding direct contact with these bleaching agents [14]. In this study, the conditioned medium remained in contact with the cells for just 30 min, because pilot studies have shown that after this period, the bleaching agents becomes extremely toxic, making it impossible to realize this type of research. This data is according with the study of Dantas et al. [26], that placed the conditioned medium is contact with the cells for 40 min. Moreover, Soares et al. [27], evaluated the cytotoxicity of 35% Hydrogen peroxide and...
different periods of contact of the gel with odontoblast-like cells (MDPC-23) and conclude that cytotoxic effects were proportional to the contact time of the bleaching gel.

A tetrazolium-based colorimetric (MTT) assay is widely used as a cytotoxicity test in cultured cells due to this technique depends on the capacity of living cells to reduce tetrazolium salt [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] to a formazan crystal in their metabolizing mitochondria [28]. This test has been used by many authors in order to evaluate the cytotoxicity of various materials for application in Dentistry [29-32]. The MTT assay is reported to have several advantages including the fast analyses, measurements and management of many samples [28].

The sodium perborate (SP) associated with distilled water has been used as a safe bleaching agent for many authors [33,34], in this study it was considerate the most toxic agent. This cytotoxic action of SP + distilled water could be explained by the physical form of this association. During the conditioned medium procedure, the paste of sodium perborate with distilled water became more soluble than other bleaching agents in gel form, thus releasing a higher amount of reactive ions that came into contact with the cells.

The percentage of cell viability of 20% carbamide peroxide associated with sodium perborate was lower than the 20% carbamide peroxide with non-association. This probably indicates that the sodium perborate potentiated the cytotoxic effect of the bleaching gel and showed that its physical vehicle dissociation characteristics can interfere in the toxicity.

Despite of the 35% hydrogen peroxide gel is well known as a bleaching agent used in the techniques of tooth whitening, in this study it showed a significant decrease of cell viability after 24 h. These results can be complemented by Ribeiro et al. [35], who studied the genotoxicity of bleaching agents and concluded that the 35% hydrogen peroxide was extremely damaging to cellular DNA.

The values of cell viability in cell culture without bleaching agent application (control group) were significantly higher than in the experimental groups in both periods evaluation. The cells continued to grow and multiply throughout the experiment, since the values of viability found after 48 h was higher than in 24 h. For the experimental groups, it could be observed that the cells had recovered partially, since the cell viability of these groups, except for group SP + distilled water, was lower in the evaluation period of 24 h compared to 48 h. These results are in agreement with Kinomoto et al. [23], who evaluate the cytotoxicity of bleaching agents in cell culture, also found that initially (24 h), the 30% hydrogen peroxide was more toxic than SP, however, after 72 h, SP maintained its cytotoxic effect, showing higher values than 30% hydrogen peroxide. These results indicate that the sodium perborate paste is able to remain active even after long periods.

Our results are according to others studies [22,24,25], which found a reduction in the growth and viability of cells after exposure to bleaching agents, using different methods and confirmed the cytotoxic effect of these agents. Also, Lima et al. [29] that used a similar method of our study, reported that a low concentration of bleaching agent (0.1% carbamide peroxide) was able to induce cytotoxicity effects in odontoblasts (MDPC-23), reducing its metabolism by about 80% compared with the control group.

Non-vital dental bleaching has proved a major technique for recovery of aesthetics and harmony of the smile. However, it statement should be extremely cautious, since the results found in this and other studies indicate that bleaching agents may cause toxicity to cells and their genetic material [35]. The selection and appointment of a bleaching agent should be based on its biocompatibility, since many studies have shown that bleaching agents have similar aesthetic results [19,36].

Therefore, other studies using different methodologies should be performed to confirm the cytotoxicity of bleaching agents, especially sodium perborate which is a product in powder form.

**CONCLUSION**

According to the methodology of this study, we can conclude that all bleaching agents tested were cytotoxic, decreasing significantly the metabolism and cell viability. The association of sodium perborate with distilled water was the most cytotoxic bleaching agent and the 20% carbamide peroxide was the least.
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