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ABSTRACT

Dentinal hypersensitivity may occur after the dentine exposure because of indirect restoration preparations. Either to avoid or decrease post-operative discomfort, dentinal adhesives have been used to protect the exposed dentine. This technique is called pre-hybridization. Notwithstanding, despite of the clinical use, there still exist controversies on its efficacy and the most adequate material and protocol to execute it. Objective: This review study aimed to discuss the concepts involving the pre-hybridization based on the most important papers published from 2002 to date, indexed at the following databases: SciELO, MEDLINE and Pubmed. Methods: The following keywords were applied in the searching: pre-hybridization, tooth hypersensitivity and their possibilities (e.g.: dentinal pre-hybridization, dentinal hypersensitivity, hypersensitivity treatment). Only review and original research studies were selected. Efforts to identify comparative, controlled clinical studies as well as meta-analysis studies on hypersensitivity and dentinal pre-hybridization were made. Results: Based on the review conducted, it was possible to highlight that the self-conditioning adhesives have been the first choice for pre-hybridization procedures. Conclusion: However, the literature still lacks on determining a consolidated protocol and the clinical effectiveness of this procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Many times, indirect restoration preparations expose the dentine and may lead to post-operative discomforts, such as dentinal hypersensitivity [1]. This has been one of the most reported clinical problems after the final cementation of indirect restorations [2-4]. The dentinal fluid is within the dentinal tubules and contains a great amount of water and odontoblastic processes [5]. These later directly link the dentine to the pulp accounting for the sensitivity felt after the preparations [5].

The hydrodynamic theory is the most accepted rationale to explain dentinal hypersensitivity, claiming that the sensitivity is justified by minimal movements within the dentinal tubules [6]. These movements push the odontoblasts by mechanically, thermally, or chemically stimulating the adjacent nerve fibers [7].

The tooth preparations comprising wear greater than 2 to 3 mm generally expose the dentine structure [8]. The post-operative sensitivity reported by patients in the ending of the preparation may be either exacerbated or initiated by several factors: use of old burs and points; inadequate use of points and burs; lack of irrigation; application of irritating dental materials [3]; and presence of unsatisfactory margins of the provisional crowns [9].

Doubts still exist on which technique would be the most adequate approach either to eliminate or to decrease the post-operative sensitivity [10-12]. So far, none predictable treatment which completely eliminates the long-term pain perception has been defined as the therapeutic “gold standard” [13]. Among the several methods employed, the pre-hybridization is characterized by the application of either an adhesive system or a flowable resin immediately after the preparation aiming to seal and protect the tooth structure [14-16]. The rationale behind this technique is that the tooth freshly prepared is more permeable [16] therefore becoming more susceptible to irritating agents [9].

Also, the pre-hybridization has been reported to increase the bond strength values of the indirect restorations [17-21], decrease the risk of bacterial contamination by oral fluids, and prevent the post-operative hypersensitivity, therefore enabling greater comfort and longer durability of the restoration [22]. Notwithstanding, despite of its clinical use, there still exist controversies on its efficacy and the most adequate material and protocol to execute it. This review study aimed to discuss the concepts involving pre-hybridization procedure.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This literature review study relied on the most relevant papers published from 2002 to date, indexed in the following databases: SciELO, MEDLINE and Pubmed. The searching occurred from January to April of 2013 with the following keywords either in English or Spanish language: pre-hybridization, dental hypersensitivity and their possibilities (e.g.: dentinal pre-hybridization, dentinal hypersensitivity, hypersensitivity treatment). Only review and original research studies were selected. Efforts to identify comparative, controlled clinical studies as well as meta-analysis studies on hypersensitivity and dentinal pre-hybridization were made.

Hydrodynamic theory

The rationale behind the theories explaining the mechanism of dentinal hypersensitivity is closely related to the morphology and histology of the dentin-pulp complex. The tooth is a complex structure composed by organic and mineralized tissues. These protect the pulp formed by blood vessels, conjunctive tissue and nerve tissue, which accounts for mediating the pain [23].

The dentine is composed by a collagen matrix filled with small apatite crystals, rich in carbonates but less calcified than enamel. These crystals are cylindrical and parallelly dispersed forming the tubules [24]. The odontoblastic...
processes fill in the tubules communicating with the pulp, resulting in the so-called dentine-pulp complex [24]. As the dentinal tissue does not contain nerve cells, it is expected that the presence of aggressor agents do not result in pain stimuli [25]. Notwithstanding, in many cases a sensitive response does occur, so-called hypersensitivity [25]. Teeth affected by hypersensitivity exhibit a greater number and diameter of the tubules than non-sensitive teeth [26,27].

The sensorial system of the pulp seems to be well adapted to sign potential damage to the tooth [28]. Ultra-structural studies have confirmed the physical proximity of the sensorial nerves to odontoblasts [28]. The pulp is richly innervated and contains both myelinated and unmyelinated nerve fibers [3]. Most part of the nerve fibers penetrates through the apical foramen, although a small number may enter through accessory canals [3]. The nerves of the pulp are composed of primary afferent fibers accounting for the pain transmission as well as efferent sympathetic fibers modulating its microcirculation [3].

Studies have been conducted to understand better the painful response [29,30], and they demonstrated that the explication most accepted to date which better justifies the dentinal sensitivity is the hydrodynamic theory. This theory postulates that the fluids within the dentinal tubules undergo agitation after thermal, physical and osmotic changes leading to neural discharges through the stimulus of mechanoreceptors. This hydrodynamic movement generates an intratubular pressure change in addition to the excitation of the nerve terminations of the pulp, starting the painful sensation. To define this theory, the authors reported the process of painful perception and stimulus transmission within the dentinal tubules based on the concept that the fluids within the dentinal tubules would be in constant movement [7].

To support the theory, the authors conducted tests with dehydrating, thermal and osmotic stimuli [7]. To observe the dehydration effect, the exposed dentin was dried resulting in the movement of the fluids within the tubules aiming to maintain the humidity of the area [6,7]. This interaction would lead to the stimulation of the odontoblastic processes and the nerve receptors [6,7]. To verify the effect of the thermal stimulus, the dentine was exposed to varied temperatures showing that the variation of the thermal expansion coefficient between the dentinal fluid and the tubules led to the movement of the fluid within them [6,7]. Cold application resulted in contraction effect by exerting pressure on the sensitive nerve terminations of the pulp [6,7]. The movement of the fluid within the dentinal tubules was also related to the maintenance of the osmotic balance in the presence of acidic, salty, and sweet food [6,7]. The fluid tends to migrate towards outside the tubules, from the less to the most concentrated medium which also stimulates the nerve terminations causing pain [6,7].

**Pre-hybridization techniques**

Conceptually, there are two main approaches for controlling dentinal hypersensitivity: 1) to prevent or decrease the nerve transmission; 2) to occlude the dentinal tubule. This latter represents a large number of treatment approaches [7]. The rationale behind the use of adhesive systems relies on the principle of sealing the dentinal tubules so that the transmission of the hydrodynamic stimuli towards the pulp complex is avoided. The deposition of a thin coating pellicle by applying the dentinal adhesive creates an artificial hybrid layer which seals the open tubules [12,31,32].

The dentinal sealing technique has different classifications and definitions [14,15]. The first researchers to develop this technique, in 1990, named it “resin coating” [14,15]. At that time, a low viscosity resin with low modulus of elasticity was employed after the application and light-curing of the adhesive system [14,15].

A variation of the technique described in 1996, so-called “dual bonding technique”,
comprised two stages [33]. At the first stage, the adhesive system is applied and light-cured immediately after the ending of the tooth preparation and previously to the impression procedure [33]. At the second stage, prior to the final cementation of the indirect restoration, a new layer of the bonding agent is applied without its light-curing [33]. This is obtained after the cementation [33]. It is believed that the bonding agent layer together with the luting agent may interfere on the adaptation of the indirect restoration [33].

Both techniques may undergo little modifications [34,35]. Whenever possible, absolute isolation is recommended [22], aiming to protect the dentin from the bacterial contamination and material remnants which could result in post-operative sensitivity [9].

Some studies advised the use of a layer of water-soluble glycerin gel prior to the last photoactivation [36,37]. Its function is to inhibit the oxygen layer therefore making viable the photoactivation [36,37]. Also, it prevents the interaction between the dentinal adhesive and the impression material which frequently occurs between polyether- and polyvinyl-based materials [17,29,38]. The application of the water-soluble gel is essential to achieve the complete curing of the impression material [17,29,38]. A study in which the gel was not applied, obtained 100% of impression failures because of the interaction of the adhesive system with the impression material [20]. The photoactivation must be executed for 5 s before the gel application and for 30 s after it [36]. However, studies have advocated either 20 s prior to and 10 s of photoactivation after the gel application [22] or 10 s before and after it [37,38]. Then, the set must be washed aiming to remove the glycerin layer. After that, the bonding agent excess is removed from the preparation margin with the aid of either instruments or low-speed burs to avoid the misadaptation of the indirect restoration [17,37].

The pre-hybridization of the dentine can improve the bond strength if the surface is treated by sandblasting with aluminum oxide particles associated with 37% phosphoric acid, followed by the application of a second layer of bonding agent [39].

The protocol for employing conventional adhesive systems is: apply 36% phosphoric acid, by varying the time of etching between 5 and 30 s (time used in the cases with enamel remnants) [17]; wash for 60 s and dry for 5 s [12,37]; apply desensitizing agent for 30 s, twice [12,37]; apply the primer agent followed by an air jet for 20 s and the bonding agent application [37,38]. Some recent studies observed that the desensitizing agent was removed without undermining the technique effectiveness [17-20].

With the use of self-etching adhesive systems in which the acid and the primer agent is within the same flask, the number of the aforementioned steps are reduced, comprising the bonding agent application followed by gently air drying if this is not within the same flask [9,40].

The pre-hybridization has the advantage of not only protecting the dentine and eliminating the dentinal hypersensitivity immediately after the cementation [16, 22] but also for a period of up to 1 month after the cementation [22]. Additionally, this technique contributes for the significantly highest shear strength [18,9,21] and microtensile values [17,41] for a period of up to 2 weeks [35]. Comparing this technique with that employed prior to cementation, pre-hybridization procedure with both self-etching and conventional adhesive systems improved the microtensile strength [17,34,41].

Considering the great variety of approaches within the literature, there is no consensus on the pre-hybridization steps. There is no defined protocol to be adopted. Do or do not execute the photoactivation of the last bonding agent layer, the number of layers to be
applied, and the adhesive system stability after
the impression procedure are frequent doubts.
The major problem to be considered is that the
adhesive substrate is no longer the dentine but
the adhesive surface [39].

Adhesive systems used

The choice of the adhesive system to be
used in the pre-hybridization procedure should
take into consideration some of their inherent
specificities [42,43]. The procedure employing
the conventional adhesive system is executed by
etching with phosphoric acid [42,43], followed
by washing and air drying and application of
the primer and bonding agent [42,43]. This
system is commonly sold in three separated
flasks (acid, primer and bonding agent); yet,
the primer and bonding agent can be within one
flask [44,45,46]. On the other hand, the self-
etching adhesive systems are sold either within
one single flask containing the acid, primer and
bonding agents or within two flasks – one flask
containing the self-etching primer agent, and the
other flask containing the bonding agent [43].
This adhesive system was developed to eliminate
the etching, washing and drying steps which are
sensitive stages depending on the operator. The
easiness of this system considerably simplified
the procedure [43]. The self-etching systems are less
sensitive to the technique, consequently, there is
a smaller chance of error which may contribute
to post-operative sensitivity [10,47-49].

Ideally, the bonding agent polymerization
should reduce the permeability of the exposed
dentine. The resin tags formation within the
dentinal tubules and the lateral anastomosis
formed by the branches result in hybridization
of the peritubular dentine [13]. Apparently, the
permeability variations of the adhesively-sealed
dentine depends greatly on the adhesive system
employed [9,40,51,52]. A study conducted
by Mithiborwala et al. 2013 [53] revealed a
higher qualitative and quantitative capacity of
penetration of the self-etching adhesive system
than that of a conventional system. This fact
would point out a tendency towards providing a
smaller post-operative sensitivity [53].

Studies comparing self-etching adhesive
with conventional systems regarding to the
demineralization depth [19], hybridization
thickness [35], effectiveness of resin tags lateral
branch formation, and hybrid layer quality [40]
have demonstrated that the self-etching adhesive
system exhibited satisfactory behaviors.

The homogeneity of the bonding agent
layer is highly important for pre-hybridization
process. Both lack and excess of material would
cause failures in either the sealing of the dentine
freshly prepared [54] or the further adaptation
of the indirect restoration [33]. Higher acidic
self-etching systems tend to form thinner hybrid
layers than those achieved by conventional
systems, yet they have high bond strengths
values [55].

Albaladejo et al. (2009) [56] found that
the conventional systems exhibited a thicker
hybrid layer with greater number of tags than
that of the self-etching systems; however, in
these latter, the hybrid layer thickness was
continue and uniform [56]. Nakabayashi and
Saimi (1996) [25] evaluated the reliability of
self-etching systems on dentin and observed
that the hybrid layer formation with this system
is stable, of high quality and effective in cases
of post-operative sensitivity. It is important to
emphasize that different self-etching systems
display different characteristics and behaviors.
Perdigão et al. (2009) [53] highlighted the
aggressive feature resulting from the pH
differences therefore preferring the less acidic
systems which are consequently less aggressive
and with more adequate bonding characteristics
[53]. Less acidic self-etching primers may help
to reduce the hydrodynamic action through the
dentinal tubules since these adhesive systems
preserve the smear layer [53,57-59].

The conventional adhesive system
increases both the dentine permeability and
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CONCLUSION

Few studies focused on the procedures to avoid hypersensitivity. The self-etching adhesive systems are emphasized as the first choice for pre-hybridization procedures. However, the literature still lacks on determining both a consolidated protocol and the clinical effectiveness of this procedure.

hydrodynamic action [50]. Two-step systems exhibited high percentage of hydrophilic monomers resulting in high degrees of permeability after the polymerization. These may increase nanoleakage and water passage through the hybrid layer [60,61].

When the conventional system is employed, there is a higher superficial demineralization of the dentine and the monomers within the adhesive system are not capable of filling the large thicknesses created by this demineralization. This process is called non-infiltrated dentin zone which may account for nanoleakage by degrading the hybrid layer through hydrolysis [41,49]. The etching procedure not only enlarges the tubules, but also removes the smear layer that physically acts against the external stimuli [12,59]. Apparently, this process does not occur in the self-etching system because the dentine demineralization simultaneously occurs with the infiltration of the adhesive monomer [57].

In all studies evaluated, by comparing teeth which have or have not been submitted to pre-hybridization, the use of bonding agents improved the bond strength values of the former. However, the studies conducted so far are predominantly employing mechanical tests [17,18,41]. Consequently, the literature lacks on factual information regarding the decreasing in the post-operative sensitivity. Thus, further studies are necessary to evaluate the clinical medium- and long-term effectiveness of pre-hybridization procedure and to determine a protocol to be followed.
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