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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to review systematically the literature about the relationship between gingivitis and oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL). Material and Methods: Relevant databases were searched for articles in English, which had been published from October 1990 to February 2014. Two independent examiners selected relevant papers, by initially assessing the abstracts and subsequently the full-text articles. Selected studies were grouped based on clinical and OHRQoL instruments and submitted to qualitative analyses. Results: Out of 184 references, ten were eligible for synthesis. All studies were cross-sectional and reported data from the following four different countries: India (n = 1), Brazil (n = 3), Thailand (n = 5) and Sudan (n = 1). The number and age of subjects included ranged from 53 to 1874 and from eight to 106 years, respectively. The following five OHRQoL instruments were used: Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14), Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ), Oral Impacts on Daily Performance (OIDP), Child-OIDP and Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI). The different methods to evaluate the presence of gingivitis were: Gingival Index (n = 2), Community Periodontal Index (n = 7) and gingival bleeding after tooth brushing (n = 1). Conclusion: This systematic literature review suggests that gingivitis is associated with impairment of OHRQoL.

RESUMO

Objetivo: O objetivo deste estudo foi revisar sistematicamente a literatura sobre a relação entre gengivite e qualidade de vida relacionada à saúde bucal (QVRSB). Material e Métodos: Bases de dados relevantes foram utilizadas para busca de artigos em Inglês, publicados entre Outubro de 1990 a Fevereiro de 2014. Dois examinadores independentes selecionaram os artigos relevantes, avaliando inicialmente os resumos e posteriormente os artigos completos. Os estudos selecionados foram agrupados de acordo com os instrumentos clínicos e de QVRSB e foram submetidos à análise qualitativa. Resultados: Das 184 referências, dez foram selecionadas para análise. Todos os estudos eram transversais e reportavam dados de quatro países: Índia (n = 1), Brasil (n = 3), Tailândia (n = 5) e Sudão (n = 1). O número e idade dos sujeitos avaliados variaram de 53 a 1874 e de oito a 106 anos, respectivamente. Os seguintes instrumentos de QVRSB foram utilizados: Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14), Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ), Oral Impacts on Daily Performance (OIDP), Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI). Os diferentes métodos para avaliar a presença de gengivite foram: Índice Gengival (n = 2), Índice Periodontal Comunitário (n = 7) e sangramento gengival após escovação dentária (n = 1). Conclusão: A presente revisão sistemática da literatura sugere que a gengivite está associada com o comprometimento da QVRSB.
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INTRODUCTION

Gingivitis is the most prevalent form of periodontal disease. It begins in early childhood, increases in prevalence and severity into the early teenage years, and then subsides slightly and levels off until approximately 20 years of age [1]. The severity of the disease is directly related to the accumulation of biofilm due to poor oral hygiene. The presence of the biofilm for a period of 10 to 21 days is sufficient to establish a condition of gingival inflammation, but it is reversible if methods for controlling the biofilm are established [2].

A variety of clinical indicators have been used for the diagnosis of gingivitis, but there is still no consensus about the most effective measure for obtaining valid clinical data [3]. Moreover, the use of clinical measures alone has been criticized, as they give little indication of subjectively perceived symptoms and do not capture the impact of oral health on the individual as a whole [4]. Therefore, measures of oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) are being used more often to complement clinical measures and to explore the functional and psychosocial outcomes of oral disorders [4]. In addition, these measures function as important clinical indicators when assessing the oral health of individuals and populations, making treatment decisions, or evaluating dental interventions, services and programs.

In the literature, the impact of gingival disease on an individual is usually characterized through self-reported symptoms, such as bleeding gums or bleeding after tooth brushing [5]. However, with clinical measures such as Gingival Index (GI) [6] and Community Periodontal Index (CPI) [7], it is clear that gingival disease produces a wide range of clinical signs and symptoms, some of which may have a considerable impact on the patient's day-to-day life or quality of life [8]. Little is known about this aspect of the disease.

Thus, it is necessary to have a better understanding of the patient's perception of the impact of gingival disease on their lives. It is also important to plan gingival care, which addresses patient's needs and key concerns. Finally, it is crucial to evaluate the outcomes of gingival treatments from the patient's perspective and to draw attention to the overall significance of gingival care [9].

The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic literature review of previously published data regarding the impact of gingivitis on OHRQoL from the patient's perspective. The question addressed by this review was whether gingivitis is associated with impairment of people's OHRQoL.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Systematic literature search

Medline, Pubmed, Embase and the Cochrane Library were searched for articles that had been published from October 1990 to February 2014, using the following terms: “gingivitis” OR “gums” OR “gingival” AND “quality of life”. Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were used if the database search engine allowed this. Reference lists of the selected articles were also searched manually for additional relevant publications that may have been missed in the database searches (saturation). Only publications written in English were considered.

Study selection and eligibility criteria

Two researchers independently selected the articles to be included by reading the title and abstracts. Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) they were observational studies, including cohort studies, randomized trials, cross-sectional (CS) and case-control studies [10], (2) they used specific OHRQoL self-measure and (3) they provided quantitative measurements of clinical oral health status. We excluded qualitative studies, case reports, (narrative) reviews, studies with incomplete sample information, insufficient methods or involving medically compromised
patient groups (e.g., irradiated patients and those with systemic diseases such as diabetes).

Inter-reader calibration at the beginning of the systematic literature review and duplicate selections throughout the study collection were carried out. The respective agreement using Kappa statistics was found to be 0.79. Disagreement between reviewers was discussed and resolved by consensus. A full copy of all potentially or definitely relevant studies was retained for further assessment. The search procedure and reasons for exclusion of studies are shown in Figure 1. The intra-observer agreement for the final selection using Kappa statistics was found to be 0.90.

**Synthesis of data**

Studies were grouped based on clinical and OHRQoL instruments used. The rationale for this grouping was the incompatibility of gingivitis and oral health-related quality of life.
the various instrument-scoring systems. For qualitative analyses, study characteristics, main outcomes concerning gingivitis and other potentially relevant outcomes were extracted and grouped according to clinical and OHRQoL measures used.

RESULTS

Study characteristics

The main characteristics of the ten eligible CS studies are summarized in Table 1. Of these researches, one was conducted in India [11], three in Brazil [12-14], five in Thailand [15-19] and one in the Sudan [20]. The number and age of subjects included in these studies varied considerably, ranging from 53 to 1874 in number and from eight to 106 years of age, respectively. The following five OHRQoL instruments were found in this review: (1) Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14) [11], (2) Child Perceptions Questionnaire (CPQ) [12], (3) Oral Impacts on Daily Performance (OIDP) [17,18,19], (4) Child-OIDP [13,15,16,18,19] and (5) Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index (GOHAI) [20]. Two publications evaluated the presence of gingivitis using GI scores [11, 20], seven used CPI scores [12,14,15,16-19] and one recorded gingival bleeding after tooth brushing [13] (Table 1).

The OHIP has 49 items designed to measure self-reported dysfunction, discomfort and disability attributed to oral conditions [21] in the adult population. The five response categories assigned values of 0-4, ranging from “never” = 0 to “very often” = 4. In 1997, Slade [22] developed the OHIP-14, which is a shorter version of the OHIP and consists of 14 items. These items are organized in seven subscales (functional limitation, physical pain, psychological discomfort, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability and handicap), and address aspects of oral health that may compromise a person's physical, psychological and social well-being. The CPQ is a group of self-completed questionnaires, designed to assess the frequency of the impact of oral conditions on the quality of life in children aged 8-10 years (CPQ8-10) [23] and aged 11-14 years (CPQ11-14) [24]. The CPQ8-10 and CPQ11-14 consist of 25 and 37 items, respectively. They are divided into four subscales:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year published</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Clinical measure</th>
<th>OHRQoL measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>18-65</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>OHIP-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>8-14</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>CPQ&lt;sub&gt;8-10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Child-OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>Gingival bleeding after tooth brushing</td>
<td>Child-OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>Child-OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1063</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Child-OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>12 and 15</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Child-OIDP OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>12 and 15</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Child-OIDP OIDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>CS</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>60-106</td>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>GOHAI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CS, cross-sectional; GI, gingival index; CPI, community periodontal index; OHIP, oral health impact profile; CPQ, child perceptions questionnaire; OIDP, oral impact on daily performance; Child-OIDP, child oral impact on daily performance; GOHAI, geriatric oral health assessment index
(1) oral symptoms, (2) functional limitations, (3) emotional well-being and (4) social well-being. A four-point response format, ranging from “Never” = 0 to “Very often” = 4 is used for these questionnaires. The OIDP and Child-OIDP assesses the oral impacts during the past 6 and 3 months, respectively, in relation to eight daily performances (eating, speaking, cleaning mouth, relaxing, smiling, studying, emotion and social contact) in adolescents [25] and children [26]. For each performance, frequency and severity score (ranging from 0-5 for the OIDP and for 0-3 for Child-OIDP) are recorded. The GOHAI contains 12 questions about oral health, which are scored from 1 to 3, for a total score between 12 to 36, characterizing the worst and best assessment, respectively, of self-perceived oral health [27].

**Qualitative analysis**

A summary of the research according to clinical measures is shown in Table 2. Two studies found statistically significant associations between GI scores and OHRQoL in adults [11] and schoolchildren [20]. Eight- to ten-year-old children without gingival bleeding on probing, recorded by CPI, rated their OHRQoL worse than those with gingivitis [12]. Other studies found negative impacts on OHRQoL of children [15] and the elderly [14] with normative treatment needs for periodontal disease, which was measured using CPI scores. Four publications showed that children [16,18,19] and adolescents [17-19] with extensive gingivitis, recorded by CPI, were more likely to report negative impacts on their OHRQoL. Worse OHRQoL was also associated with the perception of gingival bleeding after tooth brushing in 11- to 12-year-old children [13].

Table 3 summarizes the findings of the ten eligible publications according to the OHRQoL measure. One study used OHIP-14, which is a shorter version of OHIP and consists of 14 items [11]. In this study, the results of a multivariate analysis showed GI scores to be significant impacting factors on OHRQoL in Indian dental patients. The CPQ study used mean total scores as outcome measures [12]. Differences in the mean scores showed that CPQ8-10 scores were higher for children without gingival bleeding on probing (CPI ≥ 1), indicating a worse OHRQoL. All studies using OIDP and/or Child-OIDP presented the differences between the clinical categories such as OR [13, 15-20], except for one publication that considered differences in the mean scores. The latter showed that Child-OIDP scores were higher for Thai students with normative treatment needs for periodontal disease, indicating worse OHRQoL [15]. Twelve-year-old students with mean GI scores > 1 were 1.3 times more likely to report an impact than those with mean GI scores ≤ 1 [20]. Adolescents who presented with bleeding gums after tooth brushing reported a four times higher negative impact on their daily lives than those without gingival bleeding [13]. Children [16] and adolescents [17] with extensive gingivitis in 3 or more mouth sextants were twice as likely to experience moderate/high condition-specific impacts. At a moderate or higher level of condition-specific impact, there were significant associations of relationships with extensive gingivitis in 12- (OR = 2.1; p < 0.01) and 15-year olds (OR = 2.2; p < 0.05) [18]. Another study found significant association between gingivitis and negative impacts on relaxing (OR=2.0; p < 0.05), smiling (OR = 1.7; p < 0.01), studying (OR = 3.8; p < 0.01) and social (OR = 2.2; p < 0.01) contact in 12-year-olds, but not with any performance in 15-year-olds [19]. One study showed that elderly persons with gingival problems had 5.7 times more negative self-perception of OHRQoL, rated by GOHAI [14].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Population, sample n, (% females)</th>
<th>Subject of the study</th>
<th>Main outcomes regarding gingivitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Indian dental patients (n = 414) (♀ 58.0)</td>
<td>Description of the OHQoL and its associated factors in Indian adult population.</td>
<td>GI scores were significant impacting factors on OHQoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sudanese schoolchildren from public and private schools (n = 1109) (♀ 50.1)</td>
<td>Estimation of the prevalence, severity and causes of oral impacts on daily performances in 12-year-old public and private schoolchildren.</td>
<td>Mean GI showed statistically significant association with OHQoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Brazilian schoolchildren from public schools (n = 210) (♀ 50.0)</td>
<td>Assessment of the validity of the Brazilian-Portuguese version of CPQ in Brazilian children.</td>
<td>Children with gingival bleeding had lower impacts on the overall and emotional well-being domains than those without gingivitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Thai schoolchildren (n = 1034) (♀ 47.6)</td>
<td>Assessment of OHQoL in groups defined by their normative treatment need.</td>
<td>OHQoL overall score distinguished between children with and without normative treatment needs for periodontal disease, with the former presenting worse OHQoL than the latter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Thai schoolchildren (n = 1063) (♀ 50.4)</td>
<td>Assessment of association between oral diseases and OHQoL in a nationally representative sample of 12-year-old children</td>
<td>Children with gingivitis in three or more sextants were twice as likely to report condition-specific impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Thai adolescents (n = 811) (♀ 52.0)</td>
<td>Assessment of association between oral diseases and OHQoL as a basis for proposing OHQoL-based goals for the population of 15-year-olds.</td>
<td>Adolescents with extensive gingivitis in 3 or more mouth sextants were twice as likely to experience moderate/highest condition-specific impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Thai children (n = 1874) (♀ 51.0)</td>
<td>Assessment of association of socio-demographic, behavioural and the extent of gingivitis with OHQoL in nationally representative samples of 12- and 15-year-old children.</td>
<td>At a moderate or higher level of condition-specific impacts, there were significant relationships with extensive gingivitis in 12- and 15-year-olds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Thai children (n = 1874) (♀ 51.0)</td>
<td>Assessment of association between oral disease and OHQoL in a nationally representative sample of 12- and 15-year-old children.</td>
<td>Gingivitis was significantly associated with impacts on relaxing, smiling, study and social contact in 12-year-olds, but not with any performance in 15-year-olds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Brazilian institutionalized elderly (n = 587) (♀ 48.6)</td>
<td>Assessment of association among OHQoL, objective and subjective conditions and oral health-related behaviour, individual traits, and environmental factors.</td>
<td>Elderly with gingival problems had 5.7 times more negative self-perception of OHQoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Brazilian schoolchildren from public schools (n = 571) (♀ 61.4)</td>
<td>Assessment of association between OHQoL and clinical oral health measures among scholars.</td>
<td>OHQoL was associated with the perception of gingival bleeding after tooth brushing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OHQoL, oral health-related quality of life; OHIP, oral health impact profile; CPQ, child perceptions questionnaire.
Table 3 - Summary of studies according to clinical measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reference group</th>
<th>Continuous data</th>
<th>Dichotomized data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OHIP-14 (0-56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 GI score (n = 414)</td>
<td>0.249 (mean square)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 CPI ≥ 1 (n = 73)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPI ≤ 0 (n = 137)</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Gingivitis 3/more sextants (n = 287)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Gingivitis 1-2 sextants (n = 269)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Need for periodontal treatment (n = 870)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No need for periodontal treatment (n = 164)</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Bleeding gums after tooth brushing (n = 259)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No bleeding (n = 312)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>GI &gt; 1 (n = 71)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>GI ≤ 1 (n = 1038)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Gingivitis ≥ 3 sextants (n = 395)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gingivitis 1-2 sextants (n = 319)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Gingivitis 4-6 sextants (n = 371)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Child-OIDD (0-100)</td>
<td>Gingivitis 1-3 sextants (n = 472)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OHIP**, oral health impact profile; **CPQ**, child perceptions questionnaire; **OIDD**, oral impact on daily performance; **Child-OIDD**, child oral impact on daily performance; **GOHAI**, geriatric oral health assessment index

Values in square brackets indicate range of possible scores

GI, gingival index; CPI, community periodontal index; SD, standard deviation; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

*F = 1.752; p < 0.05 (multivariate analysis)

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we conducted a systematic review of the literature on the relationship between OHRQoL and gingivitis. Data from CS studies suggest that gingivitis can have a negative impact on daily life. This finding appears to be independent of the clinical and OHRQoL measures used.
There were apparent differences in OHRQoL associated with clinical gingival status. Those with higher GI scores had a poorer OHRQoL [11,20]. Adolescents who presented with bleeding gums after tooth brushing were four times more likely to report an impact on their daily lives than those without gingival bleeding [15]. Other studies considering a variety of forms of extension of gingivitis [gingival bleeding on probing, normative treatment needs, sextants], recorded by CPI, found worse OHRQoL in a wide age-range of population [children, adolescents and the elderly [12-14,16-19]. These results suggest that the OHRQoL measure is sensitive to gingival health, irrespective of whether it is self-reported or clinically observed [28]. In contrast, Barbosa et al. [12] found that children with gingivitis had a better OHRQoL than their counterparts. Additionally, eight out of 22 excluded studies found no association between the presence of gingivitis and OHRQoL scores [29-36]. These discrepancies in findings may be because the clinical instrument was not administered as a discriminative measure. Other explanations may be that there was oral disease in the sample of a small size, or that the impacts were affected by a variety of factors, such as relevance [12].

Given the limitations cited above, we used specific exclusion criteria for quality assessment of the included studies. In addition to being representative of larger populations, the studies also had to use clinical measures and well-validated OHRQoL instruments. Hence, nine out of 33 initially selected publications, which evaluated the impact on QoL only on patients’ reported symptoms of gingivitis, were excluded from this systematic literature review [28,37-44]. The rationale for exclusion was that although these studies found statistically significant associations between self-perceived symptoms of gingivitis and OHRQoL, they failed to show evidence of the clinical impact of gingivitis on daily life. According to Tsakos et al. [45], subjective measures cannot replace clinical measures. Therefore, to minimize the statistical weight of self-reporting, clinical and subjective measures should be complementary. However, if the objective is to assess QoL changes after a certain treatment modality, then the survey instrument may be acceptable.

We may have introduced a form of selection bias, as stated by Grégoire et al. [46] and Moher et al. [47], by only including articles written in English. However, this is a controversial subject. The effect of inclusion or exclusion of articles written in English was shown in two studies of meta-analyses. Exclusion of trials reported in a language other than English did not significantly affect the results of the meta-analyses [48]. However, non-English trials were more likely to produce significant results at p < 0.05 because the average estimates of intervention effects were 16% (95% CI 3% to 26%) higher in non-English-language trials than in English-language trials [49]. Nevertheless, the extent and effects of language bias may have diminished recently because of the shift towards publishing studies in English (Cochrane manual on line).

We defined HRQoL instruments as well validated if they had the ability to assess the patient’s self-reported perception of health status, had been shown in the scientific literature to be valid, reliable and responsive and included at least an assessment of physical function, mental status and social interaction [50,51]. According to Weintraub [52], discriminative ability is an important attribute of patient-centered measures if they are to play a role in understanding the consequence of gingivitis, identifying the need for treatment and helping in determining and planning the appropriate treatment method. In their study, Bernabé et al. [15] confirmed the ability of the condition-specific version of the Child-OIDP to distinguish between children with and without normative treatment needs in a variety of oral conditions, including periodontal disease. In contrast, the generic version of the Child-OIDP was only able to distinguish between children
with and without normative treatment needs for dental caries. One of the 11 excluded articles that used a HRQoL measure found minimal effects due to gingivitis but a higher degree of disability secondary to periodontal pocket depths of greater than 6 mm [53]. These findings justify the inclusion of studies that use only condition-specific OHQoL instruments, as they are more sensitive for detecting slight changes in specific conditions and may allow a more detailed evaluation of the limitations caused by oral diseases.

Certain limitations, such as potential selection bias and absence of quantitative analysis, are common in these types of studies. Despite the extensive search strategy, the number of included studies in each OHQoL group was limited. Another limitation is caused by the difficulty in accessing literature not published in English. Because no intervention studies were available, this review was conducted based only on CS studies and cannot prove causality. Additional studies and longitudinal research are needed before quantitative analysis and causality can be determined.

CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in this systematic literature review are suggestive of a relationship between gingivitis and OHQoL. This association appears to be independent of the clinical and OHQoL instruments used. Further studies and longitudinal research are needed before quantitative analysis and causality can be determined.
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