

Subject: [BDS] Editor Decision

Rubens Jorge Silveira, Paula de Sousa Lopes Cascaes , Anne Caroline Oenning ,
Regina Dorta, Tessa Botelho, José Luiz Junqueira, Mariana Quirino Silveira Soares:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Brazilian Dental
Science, "PATHOLOGICAL ALTERATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH MANDIBULAR THIRD
MOLARS: A CLINICAL, RADIOGRAPHIC AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL STUDY".

Our decision is: Resubmit

Profa. Dra. Renata Falchete do Prado

Departamento de Biociências e Diagnóstico Bucal

Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia da UNESP - São José dos Campos

Department of Biosciences and Oral Diagnostics

UNESP Institute of Science and Technology - São José dos Campos

Reviewer E:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Questionnaire

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?*

Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?

Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?

Yes

Are the methods or research design described comprehensively? Is the statistical analysis adequate?

Yes

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?

Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?

Yes

Is the language acceptable?

Yes

Manuscript Structure

Length of article is:*

Adequate

Number of tables is:

Adequate

Number of figures is:

Adequate

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state “none” if this is not applicable).

none

Rating

Interest*

Good

Quality

Good

Originality

Average

Overall

Good

Recommendation

Minor Revision

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Comments

Comments to the Author

Please, make a revision in the english. there are sentences hard to understand.

Reviewer H:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

Questionnaire

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?*

Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?

Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?

Yes

Are the methods or research design described comprehensively? Is the statistical analysis adequate?

Yes

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?

Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?

Yes

Is the language acceptable?

Yes

Manuscript Structure

Length of article is:*

Adequate

Number of tables is:

Adequate

Number of figures is:

Too Short

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state “none” if this is not applicable).

none

Rating

Interest*

Good

Quality

Excellet

Originality

Good

Overall

Good

Recommendation

Accept

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

Comments

Comments to the Author

Excellent development of the research, I would better align the conclusions between the abstract and the text. I would highlight more the relationships between the findings and the clinical relevance. I suggest including some images of the most interesting cases.