Brazilian Dental Science

Dear authors,

Your manuscript has been reviewed, but prior acceptance there are some revisions that were suggested by the reviewers. Please see below.

Editor

Revise the keyword and palavras-chave and only use terms available in MeSh.

Revise the numbers listed in Figure 1. It lists without PS n=220 initially, and after exclusion it increased to n=248.

Reviewer 1

Sugiro expandir a discussão sobre endometriose, pois, apesar de o estudo não ter encontrado associação entre os polimorfismos do SPP1 e nódulos pulpares, a associação entre endometriose e PS parece ser um achado relevante e potencialmente novo. Seria interessante discutir melhor a plausibilidade biológica envolvendo osteopontina, inflamação crônica e calcificações.

Reviewer 2

Questionnaire

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?*

- Yes

Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?*

- Yes

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?*

- Yes

Are the methods or research design described comprehensively? Is the statistical analysis adequate?*

- Yes

Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?*

Brazilian Dental Science

- Yes

Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?*

- Yes

Is the language acceptable?*

- Yes

Please rate the priority for publishing this article (1 is the highest priority, 10 is the lowest priority).

3

Manuscript Structure

Length of article is:*

- Adequate

Number of tables is:*

- Adequate

Number of figures is:*

- Adequate

Interest*

Good

Quality*

Good

Originality*

Brazilian Dental Science

Good

Overall*

Good

Recommendation*

- Accept