**TRATAMENTO MULTIDISCIPLINAR: ASSOCIAÇÃO**

**ENTRE ORTODONTIA, IMPLANTODONTIA**

**E PRÓTESE. RELATO DE CASO.**

**RESUMO**

Deficiências no rebordo alveolar, migração dentária e má oclusão são condições que podem dificultar o tratamento restaurador, exigindo uma abordagem multidisciplinar para sua resolução. Este relato de caso clínico associou ortodontia, implantodontia e prótese para a reabilitação. Paciente do sexo masculino, 34 anos de idade, apresentou-se no consultório particular queixando-se da estética e desconforto ao mastigar. Ao exame clínico, apresentava ausência dos elementos 12, 11, 21, 22 e 25, os quais eram substituídos por uma prótese parcial removível. Em decorrência do uso prolongado desta prótese, houve desgastes dos dentes artificiais e extrusão dos inferiores anteriores. Apresentava também uma depressão na região vestibular dos incisivos superiores a qual não proporcionava suporte labial adequado. O exame tomográfico revelou que, apesar da deficiência de volume ósseo no sentido vestíbulo-lingual, o remanescente nesta região permitia a instalação de implantes. Foi realizado tratamento ortodôntico para intrusão dos elementos inferiores e alinhamento das arcadas, visando ganhar espaços para reabilitação. Após, o paciente foi submetido à cirurgia para instalação de implantes na região do 11, 21 e 25, concomitantemente com regeneração óssea na vestibular dos incisivos superiores. Durante este tempo, o paciente usou uma nova prótese parcial removível. Passados 8 meses, a fase protética foi iniciada. Dez anos após o término do tratamento, o paciente mostra-se satisfeito com os resultados e realiza manutenção semestralmente.
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**MULTIDISCIPLINARY TREATMENT: ASSOCIATION**

**AMONG ORTHODONTICS, IMPLANTODONTIA**

**AND PROSTHETICS. CASE REPORT.**

**ABSTRACT**

Deficiencies in the alveolar ridge, dental migration and malocclusion are conditions that may hamper restorative treatment and thus must be resolved by taking a multidisciplinary approach. This clinical case report is associated with orthodontics, implantology and prostheses for rehabilitation. A 34-year-old male patient presented himself in a private practice complaining of aesthetics and discomfort when chewing. At the clinical examination, teeth numbers11, 12, 21, 22 and 25 were absent, with a removable partial denture replacing them. Due to the prolonged use of this prosthesis, wear of the artificial teeth and the extrusion of the anterior inferior teeth were present. A depression was also present in the vestibular region of the upper incisors, which did not provide adequate lip support. The tomographic examination revealed that despite the deficiency of the vestibular-lingual bone volume, the remnant in this region allowed for the implantation of implants. Orthodontic treatment was performed for the intrusion of the inferior elements and the alignment of the arches, with the goal of providing more spaces for rehabilitation. Afterward, the patient underwent surgery to installimplants in the 11, 21 and 25 regions, concomitantly with bone regeneration in the vestibular region of the upper incisors. During this time, the patient used a new removable partial denture. After eight months, the prosthetic phase was started. Ten years after the end of treatment, the patient is satisfied with the results and performs maintenance every six months.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The multidisciplinarityof dentistry is similar to that in other areas of health and can be used for cases that require complex rehabilitation. The lack of bone support and tooth movement associated with imbalances in the occlusion are factors related to the loss of dental elements that can make therapy difficult. The joint work of various specialties is paramount for achieving a comprehensive treatment plan.

The loss of teeth affects the stomatognathic system as well as the general health of the patient, negatively impacting his or her quality of life. When tooth loss occurs and the patient remains for a long period without adequate rehabilitation, adjacent teeth and antagonist teeth may move. Malocclusion decreases the masticatory function and impairs hygiene, favoring the development of periodontal disease [1]. The resorption of the alveolar ridge is an unavoidable effect of tooth extraction and may be a significant problem for subsequent therapy with osseointegrated implants [2]. In addition, in the anterior vestibular region, the loss of bone tissue may lead to a lack of superior lip support and aesthetic problems [1].

Conventionally, lost teethwere replaced with partial removable prostheses or fixed prostheses, which require the wearing down of the adjacent teeth and enamel for the preparation of supports. In recent years, however, dental implant therapy has become widely used to replenish the dentition. Implant-supported prostheses present predictable results and have high success rates, with minimal marginal bone resorption in the long term [1]. They are effective and viable for the replacement of teeth, returning the function to edentulous patients, andenabling the recovery of chewing, speech, smiling and swallowing. However, implant therapy can be complex and challenging for patients with insufficient bone tissue and dental arch misalignment [3-5].

In partially edentulous arches, dental migration and maladjustments usually occur in the occlusion, which makes rehabilitation treatment difficult. The mesial movement of teeth into the edentulous spaces decreases the mesio-distal distance for a future implant prosthesis. Extruded teeth may prevent prosthetic treatment due to the absence of an interocclusal space [6]. When disharmony exists in the occlusion, orthodontics can be used to restore the interocclusal space necessary for restorative treatment, to obtain an adequate occlusal plane, to improve aesthetics and to minimize the potential for interference during mandibular excursion movements [6]. The position and alignment of the teeth in relation to the planned restorations must be carefully evaluated and, if necessary, corrected to avoid compromising the prosthetic results.

In cases where the amount of bone tissue is insufficient for installing implants, or if bone volume is desired in aesthetic areas, grafting procedures become necessary. The material used as a graft should have biocompatibility, osteoconduction, adequate mechanical support to provide the necessary volume of regenerated bone, and biodegradability, andit should be replaced with the patient’s bone. Depending on their origins, bone substitutes may be the following: allogeneic, of human origin; xenogens, from another species, usually bovine or equine; and alloplastics, produced synthetically [7].

The purpose of this case report is to demonstrate that multidisciplinary treatment may be the conduit of choice for complex rehabilitation. The present case, which spanned 10 years, was associated with orthodontics, implantology and prostheses.

**CASE REPORT**

A 34-year-old male patient presented himself at a private practice complaining about aesthetics and discomfort when chewing. Clinical and radiographic examinations (Figure 1) revealed the absence of teeth numbers 11, 12, 21, 22 and 25, which were replaced with a partial removable prosthesis. As a result of the prolonged use of this prosthesis, wear of the artificial teeth and the extrusion of the anterior inferior teeth were present (Figure 2). A depression was also present in the vestibular region of the upper incisors, which did not provide adequate lip support (Figure 3).

The tomographic examination revealed that despite the deficiency of the buccal-lingual bone volume, the remnant in this region allowed for the installation of implants (Figure 4).

Based on the data collected through the clinical and imaging exams, a treatment plan was established to rehabilitate the patient, beginning with orthodontics for the correction of spaces, followed by bone regeneration in the upper anterior region and the installation of implants in the edentulous areas. The entire treatment plan was explained to the patient, including its advantages and disadvantages. The patient accepted and agreed to the proposed treatment by signing a consent form (Annex 1).

Initially, orthodontic treatment was performed for the intrusion of the inferior elements and the alignment of the arches. To provide space for the maxillary incisors, the diastema existing between the maxillary premolars was eliminated, and wear on the proximal surface of tooth 14 were performed. In the lower arch, the incisors were aligned and leveled, as the central incisors were extruded. Still, in the lower arch, the incisals of teeth 32 and 42 were inclined due to the wear resulting from the superior partial removable prosthesis. Brackets were glued to the canines and lower incisors to align and level these teeth. With the intention of assisting the distalization of teeth 13 and 14, an orthodontic button was attached to the partial removable prosthesis to anchor two elastics, one for the lingual area, and another for the vestibular area, to prevent the teeth from rotating.

After the complete movement of teeth 13 and 14, the partial removable prosthesis was replaced to release teeth 23 and 24 to be distalized, thus further increasing the space available for the upper incisors (Figure 5). An intermaxillary elastic was used to approximate the canines on the left side to obtain a canine guide. After the brackets were removed, a fixed restraint was installed on the lower anterior teeth. The upper arch restraint was maintained until the temporary crowns were installed over the implants.

Afterward, the patient underwent surgery to install implants in the regions of teeth 11, 21 and 25 (Figures 6 and 7), concomitantly with bone regeneration in the vestibular region of the upper incisors. The graft used was bovine bone *GenOx Org, Genius®*, followed by the placement of bovine membrane *GenDerm, Genius®*. Due to the bone grafting procedure, it was necessary to wear down the superior provisional partial removable prosthesis so that it would fit better (Figure 8).

After eight months of implant installation and bone regeneration, temporary acrylic crowns were installed (Figure 9). After yet another six months, the definitive metaloceramic crowns were fabricated (Figure 10).

Ten years after the end of treatment, the patient is satisfied with the results and performs maintenance every six months. The implant prostheses and dental alignment, along with the gingival tissue, did not present changes during follow-up (Figure 11). A radiographic examination revealed the stability of the implants and bone tissue (Figures 12 and 13). The lower anterior incisors and their supporting tissues did not display pathologies after 10 years of orthodontic intrusion (Figure 14).

**DISCUSSION**

One of the main purposes of prosthetic treatment is to restore masticatory function in edentulous patients [8]. A partial removable prosthesis provides reduced masticatory capacity due to the poor retention and stability of this type of prosthesis [9]. In a partial removable prosthesis, occlusal forces move the base of the prosthesis toward the ridge direction, causing rotational movements and producing forces on the abutments and soft tissues [10-12]. Replacing missing teeth with dental implants can solve this problem. Dental implant therapy improves masticatory function, thus providing greater patient satisfaction and an improved quality of life [13]. The dental implant is one of the most preferred treatment options, as it is the treatment that is closest to the natural dentition [14]. Gonçalves (2014) and Gonçalves (2015) demonstrated through a clinical study that masticatory capacity and nutritional quality exhibited better results in patients who used dentures on implants compared with those using partial removable prostheses. In the present case, the patient before starting treatment complained of discomfort during mastication due to the use of a partial removable prostheses. After the completion of treatment, the patient reported improvement in this aspect, with a gain in his quality of life [15, 16].

In addition to restoring function, oral rehabilitation in the toothless patient should also be concerned with regaining his or her facial shape. A complete plan needs to identify the need for bone augmentation procedures, and for this, it is necessary to understand that the alveolar ridge atrophy process affects not only the bone tissue but also the soft tissues that overlap it. Systematic reviews have revealed that horizontal bone loss is 29-63% and vertical bone loss 11-22% after six months of tooth extraction. Rapid reductions of bone tissue occur in the first 3-6 months, followed by gradual reductions in the following periods. During the post-extraction healing stage, clinical loss in width is greater than the loss in height, in both clinical and tomographic evaluations [17,18].Thus, alveolar ridges usually present a reduced amount of bone tissue, such as in the case of this patient, where despite the availability of bone for implants, the reduced volume of vestibule-lingual bone has negative aesthetic effects, such as a lack of superior lip support. The loss of the natural dentition results in the gradual reabsorption of the alveolar process and causes changes in the facial muscles and, consequently, a change in the facial morphology. The upper lip is dependent on the dentition and on the alveolar bone for satisfactory support. With the loss of superior buccal bone support, the nasolabial angle increases, the vermilion of the lip is less evident, and an apparent shortening of the lip takes place, with the consequent loss of lip support [19]. For the present case, *GenOx Org* bovine bone graft, *Genius®*, followed by *GenDerm* membrane placement, *Genius®*, was used immediately after the installation of the implants in the upper anterior region. The goal was to increase the vestibular bone volume to improve the patient’s facial appearance.

The correction of overbite can be achieved through the intrusion of the anterior teeth or the extrusion of the posterior teeth. Among the various types of orthodontic movements, the intrusion of the anterior teeth is considered a complex movement due to the difficulty of obtaining real intrusion, that is, body intrusion and not justthe inclination of the teeth. The intrusion movement is much more difficult to achieve compared with the extrusion movement, as the periodontal fibers’ orientation causes them to strongly resistmovement [20,21]. The orthodontic intrusion of the teeth is associated with three main concepts. First, to obtain anchorage, the apparatus must be rigid and include as many teeth as possible; second, the point of the application of the force must be through the center of resistance; third, the recommended amount of force to intruate a single rooted tooth is 10 g to 20 g (0.098 N to 0.196 N) [6]. Traditional fixed orthodontic appliances are often preferred to removable appliances because the result of the treatment is less dependent on patient compliance. In the intrusion movement, the force is concentrated over a small area in the apical region of the periodontal ligament, regardless of the point of the application of the orthodontic force, and light forces are required to produce appropriate pressure [6,22].

Intrusion movement is more associated with root resorption and pulpal alterations than any other type of induced movement is [23,24]. The distribution of force along the dental root and the surrounding bone structure is more important than the intensity or range of the force application is [25]. The force applied on the crown causes a movement that associates vestibular intrusion and inclination. Consequently, the greatest amount of force is concentrated at the apex, favoring the anoxia of the periodontal ligament and the death of the cementoblasts, thus resulting in root resorption [26]. Sabuncuoglu (2015) demonstrated that small regressive changes occur in the pulp tissue as a result of the intrusion movement, and changes in pulp blood flow levels tend to be reversible.During the intrusive movement of anterior teeth, the posterior teeth tend to extrude. Adequate control of the posterior teeth, through rigid stabilization, decreases the magnitude of the force used for intrusion [27,28]. In the present case, we observed that after 10 years, the periodontal tissues in the lower incisors are stable after the intrusion movements. Thus, this orthodontic approach, when well indicated and properly applied, can be an excellent treatment option for bite corrections and for providing moreinterocclusal space.

For 35 years, implants have been widely used to restore lost teeth. The abutment-implant interface has an external or internal connection. At the time of the installation of the implants in the present case, the external connection was predominant,with the most commonly used one being composed of a external hexagon. The disadvantages of external connections are now known, such as the small distance between the prosthesis and the implant head, which creates greater stress on the connection and the greater possibility of bacterial infiltration. In addition, mismatch at the abutment-implant interface results in the colonization of bacteria, which can cause bone loss around the implant, thus leading to failures. Despite this context, this case report demonstrates that it was possible to achieve clinical and aesthetic success with the external connection, even after 10 years of follow-up.In an attempt to improve the properties and performance of implants, many systems with various connections are currently available in the market, with internal connections and the morse connection being the most widely used. One of the main causes of implant failure is periimplantite: a destructive inflammatory process that occurs around osseointegrated implants due to the colonization of bacteria. Even in the case of external connections, the patient's case is stable, with no signs of inflammation. Studies have indicated that the bacterial microleakage of external connections resembles that of internal connections when the amount of torque in the screw is increased [29-32]. The present case demonstrated that the external connection used can produce positive results in the long term. In addition, the patient returns to the office to perform maintenance every six months, a fact that may favor the success of this type of connection.

**CONCLUSION**

The purpose of this multidisciplinary treatment was to create adequate space for rehabilitation with implants, with the goal being to establish a functionally and aesthetically satisfactory occlusion. The treatment plan involving Implantology, orthodontics and prosthodontics proved to be effective for this challenging case. After a 10-year follow-up, the prostheses are stable, reaffirming the use of a multidisciplinary approach.
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**LEGEND OF FIGURES**

Figure 1 - Panoramic radiograph of the patient before the start of treatment.

Figure 2 - Observe that teeth 31 and 41 are extruded in relation to the adjacent teeth. At the beginning of the treatment, the patient used a superior partial removable prosthesis.

Figure 3 - At the upper edentulous border, the patient presented a depression in the anterior region, which damaged the labial support.

Figure 4 - Computed tomography of the upper anterior region displayed the bone availability for the implantation of implants.

Figure 5 - During orthodontic treatment, a new superior provisional PPR was created.

Figure 6 - Periapical radiograph of the implant in the tooth 25 region six months after the installation surgery.

Figure 7 - Periapical radiograph of the implants in the anterosuperior region six months after the installation surgery.

Figure 8 - After bone graft surgery, it was necessary to wear down the anterior superior partial removable prosthesis area to better accommodate the prosthesis.

Figure 9 - Temporary crowns made of acrylic resin installed on the implants.

Figure 10 - Prostheses on implants in metaloceramics.

Figure 11 - After 10 years of the end of the treatment, stability of the crowns on the implants is observed.

Figure 12 - Periapical radiograph of the implant of the tooth 25 region 10 years after treatment.

Figure 13 - Periapical radiograph of the upper anterior region indicating the stability of the osseous tissue after 10 years of follow-up.

Figure 14 - Periapical radiograph of anterior lower teeth 10 years after orthodontic intrusion is completed.

Appendix 1- PatientInformed Consent Form