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Answer the to reviewers

*Brazilian Dental Science*

Ref.: Article submission “Effect of the irrigation protocols on the elimination of dentin debris from simulated lateral canals”

We, authors of this work, are grateful for the reviewers considerations. The suggested changes were made in the main text and are highlighted in yellow. Here are the answers point by point about the corrections suggested.

**Review A:**

**Comments to the author:**

- "Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?" **NO**

**Our response:**

Thanks for the answer. The abstract was rewritten, specially the results section.

* Number of figures: **too short**

**Our response:**

Some new figures was added.

***- Comments :***

“It's an interesting paper.

Abstract: The results description is not clear enough, It should be rewritten.

Introduction: It's necessary to review the English grammar.

Results and Discussion: For both tables, the results have a high standard deviation. Is that fact related to any methodology fragility or standardization? It's suggested to include in the discussion what are your thoughts about the high standard deviation.”

**Our response:**

Thanks for the suggestions. The abstract was rewritten, specially the results section. Introduction was also revised and corrected, some repetitive words were replaced.

**Review B:**

**Comments to the author:**

"Interesting issue. The methodology about filling canals with debris should be more detailed and referenced. Does it has been previously published? Please, cite some reference.

A figure/scheme showing the acrylic incisors with simulated canals would enhance the study.

English needs to be revised.

The results and discussion are relevant.”

**Our response:**

Thanks for the suggestions. The methodology was detailed and Two new references were added. The English grammar was revised.

**Checklist:**

* **Title in Portuguese, Abstract (resumo), keywords (decs)** are now found in the manuscript document.
* **References** are now within the international norms - medline.